		Standard #4 Measure	ement and Analysis of S	Student Learning a	ind Performance		
			Use this table to supply data				
Performance Indicator	Definition						
Ū	A student learning outcome is one that measures a specific competency attainment. Examples of a direct assessment (evidence) of student learning attainment that might be used include: capstone performance, third-party examination, face examination, professional performance, licensure examination). Add these to the description of the measurement instrument in column two: Direct - Assessing student performance by examining samples of student work Indirect - Assessing indicators other than student work such as getting feedback from the student or other persons who may provide relevant information. Formative – An assessment conducted during the student's education. Summative – An assessment conducted at the end of the student's education. Internal – An assessment instrument that was developed within the business unit. External – An assessment instrument that was developed outside the business unit. Comparative – Compare results between classes, between online and on ground classes, Between professors, between programs, between campuses, or compare to external results such as results from the U.S. Department of Education is Statistics, or results from a vendor providing comparable data.						
			Analysis of Results				
	What is your measurement instrument or process?	Current Results	Analysis of Results	Action Taken or Improvement made	Insert Graphs or Tables of Resulting Trends (3-5 data points preferred)		
leasurable goal	Do not use grades.	What are your current results?	What did you learn from the results?	What did you improve or what is your next step?			
Vhat is your goal?	(Indicate type of instrument) direct, formative, internal,						
	comparative		GENERAL AREA (Only Mas	ster's Degree)			
			GENERAL AREA (OIII) Mas	ster s Degree)	Business		
usiness: t the beginning: 50% of the opulation ranked 3 in directive kills (red) and analytical skills plue) buring: 50% of the population anged from acceptable to utstanding t the end: 50% of the population anged from acceptable to utstanding	At the beginning: Diagnostic, External, Indirect During: Formative, Internal, Direct At the end: Summative, Internal, Direct	At the beginning: 86% of the population ranked 3 in directive skills (red) and analytical skills (blue) During: 93% of the population ranged from acceptable to outstanding At the end: 100% of the population ranged from acceptable to outstanding	At the beginning: There is a positive difference of 36% at institutional level. During: There is a positive difference of 43% at institutional level At the end: There is a positive difference of 35% at institutional level	Syllabi updates. Creation of the GENEXA system. Increase academic quality. Reinforce English language learning (Graduate studies). Course induction. Educational model induction. Analyze results in the following measurements for a possible goal adjustment.	2016-83/2018-61 100% 90% 86% 70% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 5		
			PROFESSIONAL AR	EAS			
Master's Degree in Senior Managment: t the beginning: 50% of the opulation ranked 3 in directive kills (red) and analytical skills olue) uring: 50% of the population anged from acceptable to utstanding uring: 50% of the population anged from acceptable to utstanding t the end: 50% of the population anged from acceptable to	At the beginning: Diagnostic, External, Indirect During: Formative, Internal, Direct During: Formative, Internal, Direct At the end: Summative, Internal, Direct	At the beginning: '86% of the population ranked 3 in directive skills (red) and analytical skills (blue) During: 93% of the population ranged from acceptable to outstanding During: 92% of the population ranged from acceptable to outstanding At the end: 100% of the population ranged from acceptable to outstanding	At the beginning: There is a positive difference of 36% at institutional level During: There is a positive difference of 43% at institutional level During: There is a positive difference of 42% at institutional level At the end: There is a positive difference of 50% at institutional level	Syllabi updates. Creation of the GENEXA system. Increase academic quality. Reinforce English language learning (Graduate studies). Course induction. Educational model induction. Analyze results in the following measurements for a possible goal adjustment.	Master's Degree in Senior Management 2016-83/2018-61 100% 90% 93% 90% 93% 90% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50%		

Master's Degree in Financial Management: At the beginning: 50% of the population ranked 3 in directive skills (red) and analytical skills (blue) During: 50% of the population ranged from acceptable to outstanding At the end: 50% of the population ranged from acceptable to outstanding	At the beginning: Diagnostic, External, Indirect During: Formative, Internal, Direct At the end: Summative, Internal, Direct	At the beginning: 86% of the population ranked 3 in directive skills (red) and analytical skills (blue) During: 92% of the population ranged from acceptable to outstanding At the end: 100% of the population ranged from acceptable to outstanding	At the beginning: There is a positive difference of 36% at institutional level During: There is a positive difference of 42% at institutional level At the end: There is a positive difference of 50% at institutional level	Syllabi updates. Creation of the GENEXA system. Increase academic quality. Reinforce English language learning (Graduate studies). Course induction. Educational model induction. Analyze results in the following measurements for a possible goal adjustment.	Master's Degree in Financial Management 2016-83/2018-61 100% 90% 86% 90% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 5
Specialization in Taxes: At the beginning: 50% of the population ranked 3 in directive skills (red) and analytical skills (blue) During: 50% of the population ranged from acceptable to outstanding At the end: 50% of the population ranged from acceptable to outstanding	At the beginning: Diagnostic, External, Indirect During: Formative, Internal, Direct At the end: Summative, Internal, Direct	At the beginning: 86% of the population ranked 3 in directive skills (red) and analytical skills (blue) During: 98% of the population ranged from acceptable to outstanding At the end: 95% of the population ranged from acceptable to outstanding	At the beginning: There is a positive difference of 36% at institutional level During: There is a positive difference of 48% at institutional level At the end: There is a positive difference of 45% at institutional level	Increase academic quality. Analyze results in the following measurements for a possible goal adjustment	Specialization in Taxes 2016-83/2018-61 98% 90% 80% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 5

		Standard #4 Measure	ement and Analysis of S	Student Learning a	Ind Performance		
			Use this table to supply data	for Criterion 4.2.			
Performance Indicator	Definition						
	A student learning outcome is one that measures a specific competency attainment. Examples of a direct assessment (evidence) of student learning attainment that might be used include: capstone performance, third-party examination, faculty-de xamination, professional performance, licensure examination). Add these to the description of the measurement instrument in column two: Direct - Assessing student performance by examining samples of student work ndirect - Assessing indicators other than student work such as getting feedback from the student or other persons who may provide relevant information. Formative – An assessment conducted during the student's education. Summative – An assessment conducted at the end of the student's education. Internal – An assessment instrument that was developed within the business unit. External – An assessment instrument that was developed outside the business unit. External – An assessment instrument that was developed outside the business unit. Somparative – Compare results between classes, between online and on ground classes, Between professors, between programs, between campuses, or compare to external results such as results from the U.S. Department of Education Resear Statistics, or results from a vendor providing comparable data.						
			Analysis of Results				
	What is your measurement instrument or process?	Current Results	Analysis of Results	Action Taken or Improvement made	Insert Graphs or Tables of Resulting Trends (3-5 data points preferred		
Measurable goal	Do not use grades.	What are your current results?	What did you learn from the results?	What did you improve or what is your next step?			
	(Indicate type of instrument) direct, formative, internal, comparative						
	comparative		GENERAL AREA (Only Mas	ster's Degree)			
susiness: It the beginning: 50% of the oppulation ranked 3 in directive kills (red) and analytical skills blue) During: 50% of the population anged from acceptable to outstanding At the end: 50% of the population anged from acceptable to butstanding	At the beginning: Diagnostic, External, Indirect During: Formative, Internal, Direct At the end: Summative, Internal, Direct	At the beginning: 94% of the population ranked 3 in directive skills (red) and analytical skills (blue) During: 91% of the population ranged from acceptable to outstanding At the end: 97% of the population ranged from acceptable to outstanding	At the beginning: There is a positive difference of 44% at institutional level. During: There is a positive difference of 41% at institutional level At the end: There is a positive difference of 32% at institutional level	Syllabi updates. Creation of the GENEXA system. Increase academic quality. Course induction. Educational model induction. Analyze results in the following measurements for a possible goal adjustment.	2016-94/2018-62 97% 90% 80% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 5		
			PROFESSIONAL AR	EAS			
Master's Degree in Senior Managment: At the beginning: 50% of the oppulation ranked 3 in directive kills (red) and analytical skills blue) During: 50% of the population anged from acceptable to outstanding During: 50% of the population anged from acceptable to butstanding At the end: 50% of the population anged from acceptable to butstanding	At the beginning: Diagnostic, External, Indirect During: Formative, Internal, Direct During: Formative, Internal, Direct At the end: Summative, Internal, Direct	At the beginning: 94% of the population ranked 3 in directive skills (red) and analytical skills (blue) During: 91% of the population ranged from acceptable to outstanding During: 91% of the population ranged from acceptable to outstanding At the end: 94% of the population ranged from acceptable to outstanding	At the beginning: There is a positive difference of 44% at institutional level During: There is a positive difference of 41% at institutional level During: There is a positive difference of 41% at institutional level At the end: There is a positive difference of 44% at institutional level	Syllabi updates. Creation of the GENEXA system. Increase academic quality. Course induction. Educational model induction. Analyze results in the following measurements for a possible goal adjustment.	Master's Degree in Senior Management 2016-94/2018-62		

Master's Degree in Financial Management: At the beginning: 50% of the population ranked 3 in directive skills (red) and analytical skills (blue) During: 50% of the population ranged from acceptable to outstanding At the end: 50% of the population ranged from acceptable to outstanding	At the beginning: Diagnostic, External, Indirect During: Formative, Internal, Direct At the end: Summative, Internal, Direct	At the beginning: 94% of the population ranked 3 in directive skills (red) and analytical skills (blue) During: 91% of the population ranged from acceptable to outstanding At the end: 100% of the population ranged from acceptable to outstanding	At the beginning: There is a positive difference of 44% at institutional level During: There is a positive difference of 41% at institutional level At the end: There is a positive difference of 50% at institutional level	Syllabi updates. Creation of the GENEXA system. Increase academic quality. Course induction. Educational model induction. Analyze results in the following measurements for a possible goal adjustment.	70%
Specialization in Taxes: At the beginning: 50% of the population ranked 3 in directive skills (red) and analytical skills (blue) During: 50% of the population ranged from acceptable to outstanding At the end: 50% of the population ranged from acceptable to outstanding	At the beginning: Diagnostic, External, Indirect During: Formative, Internal, Direct At the end: Summative, Internal, Direct	At the beginning: 94% of the population ranked 3 in directive skills (red) and analytical skills (blue) During: 100% of the population ranged from acceptable to outstanding At the end: 100% of the population ranged from acceptable to outstanding	At the beginning: There is a positive difference of 44% at institutional level During: There is a positive difference of 50% at institutional level At the end: There is a positive difference of 50% at institutional level	Increase academic quality. Analyze results in the following measurements for a possible goal adjustment	30% 30%

		Standard #4 Measure	ement and Analysis of S	Student Learning a	Ind Performance		
			Use this table to supply data	a for Criterion 4.2.			
Performance Indicator	Definition						
-	A student learning outcome is one that measures a specific competency attainment. Examples of a direct assessment (evidence) of student learning attainment that might be used include: capstone performance, third-party examination, faculty-or examination, professional performance, licensure examination). Add these to the description of the measurement instrument in column two: Direct - Assessing student performance by examining samples of student work indirect - Assessing indicators other than student work seducation. Sommative – An assessment conducted during the student's education. Summative – An assessment conducted at the end of the student's education. Internal – An assessment instrument that was developed within the business unit. External – An assessment instrument that was developed outside the business unit. External – Comparative – Compare results between classes, between on ground classes, Between professors, between programs, between campuses, or compare to external results such as results from the U.S. Department of Education Resea Statistics, or results from a vendor providing comparable data.						
			Analysis of Results				
	What is your measurement instrument or process?	Current Results	Analysis of Results	Action Taken or Improvement made	Insert Graphs or Tables of Resulting Trends (3-5 data points preferred)		
Measurable goal	Do not use grades.	What are your current results?	What did you learn from the results?	What did you improve or what is your next step?			
What is your goal?	(Indicate type of instrument) direct, formative, internal, comparative						
	comparative		GENERAL AREA (Only Mas	ster's Degree)			
Ausiness: At the beginning: 50% of the hoppulation ranked 3 in directive kills (red) and analytical skills blue) During: 50% of the population anged from acceptable to butstanding At the end: 50% of the population anged from acceptable to butstanding	At the beginning: Diagnostic, External, Indirect During: Formative, Internal, Direct At the end: Summative, Internal, Direct	At the beginning: 88% of the population ranked 3 in directive skills (red) and analytical skills (blue) During: 93% of the population ranged from acceptable to outstanding At the end: 100% of the population ranged from acceptable to outstanding	At the beginning: There is a positive difference of 38% at institutional level. During: There is a positive difference of 43% at institutional level At the end: There is a positive difference of 35% at institutional level	Courses updates. Comply with Teacher's Profile. Course induction. Educational model induction. Analyze current results for a possible goal adjustment. Learning Evaluation System, new version.	2017-61/2018-83 100% 100% 93% 90% 88% 93% 65% 60% 50% 50% 50% 60% 50% 60% 50% 60% 50% 60% 50% 60% 50%		
			PROFESSIONAL AR	EAS			
Master's Degree in Senior Vanagment: At the beginning: 50% of the sopulation ranked 3 in directive kills (red) and analytical skills blue) During: 50% of the population anged from acceptable to putstanding During: 50% of the population anged from acceptable to putstanding At the end: 50% of the population anged from acceptable to putstanding	At the beginning: Diagnostic, External, Indirect During: Formative, Internal, Direct During: Formative, Internal, Direct At the end: Summative, Internal, Direct	At the beginning: '88% of the population ranked 3 in directive skills (red) and analytical skills (blue) During: 93% of the population ranged from acceptable to outstanding During: 90% of the population ranged from acceptable to outstanding At the end: 100% of the population ranged from acceptable to outstanding	At the beginning: There is a positive difference of 38% at institutional level During: There is a positive difference of 43% at institutional level During: There is a positive difference of 40% at institutional level At the end: There is a positive difference of 50% at institutional level	Courses updates. Comply with Teacher's Profile. Course induction. Educational model induction. Analyze current results for a possible goal adjustment. Learning Evaluation System, new version.	Master's Degree in Senior Management 2017-61/2018-83 100% 90% 93% 90% 90% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 60al 40% 40% 40% 40% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 40% 50% 50% 50% 50% 60al 40% 40% 40% 40% 40% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 60al 40% 40% 40% 40% 40% 40% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 60al 40% 40% 40% 40% 40% 40% 50% 40% 40% 40% 40% 40% 60% 40% 40% 40%		

Master's Degree in Financial Management: At the beginning: 50% of the population ranked 3 in directive skills (red) and analytical skills (blue) During: 50% of the population ranged from acceptable to outstanding At the end: 50% of the population ranged from acceptable to outstanding	At the beginning: Diagnostic, External, Indirect During: Formative, Internal, Direct At the end: Summative, Internal, Direct	At the beginning: 88 of the population ranked 3 in directive skills (red) and analytical skills (blue) During: 90% of the population ranged from acceptable to outstanding At the end: 100% of the population ranged from acceptable to outstanding	At the beginning: There is a positive difference of 38% at institutional level During: There is a positive difference of 40% at institutional level At the end: There is a positive difference of 50% at institutional level	Courses updates. Comply with Teacher's Profile. Course induction. Educational model induction. Analyze current results for a possible goal adjustment. Learning Evaluation System, new version.	Master's Degree in Financial Management 2017-61/2018-83 100% 88% 90% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 5	■ Goal ■ Achieved
Specialization in Taxes: At the beginning: 50% of the population ranked 3 in directive skills (red) and analytical skills (blue) During: 50% of the population ranged from acceptable to outstanding At the end: 50% of the population ranged from acceptable to outstanding	At the beginning: Diagnostic, External, Indirect During: Formative, Internal, Direct At the end: Summative, Internal, Direct	At the beginning: 88% of the population ranked 3 in directive skills (red) and analytical skills (blue) During: 100% of the population ranged from acceptable to outstanding At the end: 68% of the population ranged from acceptable to outstanding	At the beginning: There is a positive difference of 38% at institutional level During: There is a positive difference of 50% at institutional level At the end: There is a positive difference of 18% at institutional level	Analyze current results for a possible goal adjustment. Learning Evaluation System, new version.	Specialization in Taxes 201761/2018-83 100% 88% 80% 60% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 5	■ Goal ■ Achieved